UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (BROOKLYN)

IN RE: EXACTECH POLYETHYLENE ORTHOPEDIC PRODUCTS LIABILITY	MDL No. 3044 (NGG) (MMH)
LITIGATION	Case No.: 1:22-md-03044-NGG-MMH
	District Judge Nicholas G. Garaufis Magistrate Judge Marcia M. Henry
/	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff(s) files this Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against the Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporates by reference the allegations, Causes of Action, and requested relief contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint filed in In re: Exactech Polyethylene Orthopedic Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3044, Case No. 1:22-md-03044 ("Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint" or "AMPIC").1

Plaintiff(s) further alleges as follows:

I. **IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES**

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

Injured Plaintiff(s): Name of the individual(s) implanted with and injured by an Exactech Device.

("Plaintiff(s)")

¹ Plaintiff may assert additional causes of action and/or name Defendants not otherwise set forth in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint. If additional causes of action are asserted and/or new Defendants named, the specific facts supporting any such additional cause of action or the naming of such additional Defendants must be pled in a manner complying with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Additional pages may be attached to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.

2.	At the	tım	e of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Plaintiff resides in the following state:
3.	Consor	rtiu	m Plaintiff(s): Name of the individual(s) that alleges damages for loss of consortium:
	("Conse	orti	um Plaintiff")
4.	Surviva		nd/or Wrongful Death Claims: Representative Plaintiff: Name of the individual filing this matter and their
			representative capacity (i.e. administrator or executor of estate):
		("I	Representative Plaintiff")
		b.	Name and state of residence of Decedent Plaintiff when he/or she died as a result of an Exactech Device related injury:
		c.	Decedent Plaintiff died on the following date:
	В.		DEFENDANTS
			OCEEDING – PLEASE CAREFULLY READ AND CONSIDER THE PLACES ORATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, AND/OR CITIZENSHIP OF

BEFORE PROCEEDING – PLEASE CAREFULLY READ AND CONSIDER THE PLACES OF INCORPORATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, AND/OR CITIZENSHIP OF EACH DEFENDANT BEFORE SELECTING TO ENSURE THAT YOU ARE NOT NAMING ANY DEFENDANTS FROM THE SAME STATE AS ANY PLAINTIFF. THE PLACE OF INCORPORATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, OR RESIDENCE OF EACH DEFENDANT IS IN THE FOOTNOTES FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE

5. Plaintiff(s) names the following Defendants in this action:

Exactech Defendants

Exactech, Inc.²

Exactech U.S., Inc.³

TPG Defendants

NOTE: Pursuant to Practice and Procedure Order No. 4 (Direct Filing Order as to TPG Entity Defendants), these entities may *only* be named as a defendant in an action DIRECTLY FILED in this Court — as opposed to an action filed in another court and transferred to this Court via the MDL statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1407 — if implantation of a device(s) identified in paragraphs 8, 15, 22 or 29 below occurred *on or after February 14, 2018* (i.e., the date identified in response to paragraphs 10, 17, 24, 31 below); otherwise, identifying one or more of the TPG Defendants below will be of no effect and it/they will NOT be a defendant in the action.

TPG Inc.4

Osteon Holdings, Inc.⁵

Osteon Merger Sub, Inc.⁶

Osteon Intermediate Holdings II, Inc.⁷

Other Defendant(s) (provide name and state(s) of citizenship for each new Defendant)

² Florida corporation, with its principal place of business in Gainesville, Florida, and a citizen of Florida.

³ Florida corporation, with its principal place of business in Gainesville, Florida, and a citizen of Florida.

⁴ Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in Fort Worth, Texas, and a citizen of Delaware and Texas.

⁵ Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in Delaware, and a citizen of Delaware.

⁶ Texas corporation, with its principal place of business in Florida, and a citizen of Texas and Florida.

⁷ Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in Delaware, and a citizen of Delaware.

II. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

6. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to:

Diversity of Citizenship

Other (any additional basis for jurisdiction must be pled in sufficient detail below or on appended pages as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure):

III. <u>VENUE/DESIGNATED FORUM</u>

7. Identify the Federal District Court in which the Plaintiff would have filed in the absence of direct filing:

IV. PLAINTIFF'S EXACTECH DEVICE AND INJURIES

Exactech Device 1:

(NOTE: Answer the following questions for only one Exactech Device.)

8. Plaintiff was implanted with the following Exactech Device:

Exactech Hip Devices Exactech Knee Devices

Connexion GXL Optetrak

Novation GXL Optetrak Logic

AcuMatch GXL Truliant

MCS GXL

Exactech Ankle Device

Vantage

9. I	Leg in which the Exactech Device was Implanted:
	Right
	Left
	Len
10. I	Date the Exactech Device was implanted (see also note to paragraph 5 above):
-	
11. 5	State in which the Exactech Device was implanted:
	•
_	
12. I	Date the Exactech Device was surgically removed/revised:
_	
	Plaintiff has suffered the following injuries and complications as a result of this Exactech
1	Device:

14. Is Plaintiff asserting claims regarding injuries suffered prior to February 14, 2018 against the TPG Defendants?						
Yes	No					
Exactech Dev	<u>vice 2</u> :					
(NOTE: Answer the following questions for only one Exactech Device.)						
15. Plaintiff w	as implanted with the following E	xactech Device:				
Exactech Hip Devices Exactech Knee Devices						
	Connexion GXL	Optetrak				
	Novation GXL	Optetrak Logic				
	AcuMatch GXL	Truliant				
	MCS GXL					
		Exactech Ankle Device				
		Vantage				
16. Leg in wh	ich the Exactech Device was Impla Right	nted:				
	Left					
17. Date the Exactech Device was implanted (see also note to paragraph 5 above):						
18. State in which the Exactech Device was implanted:						
19. Date the Exactech Device was surgically removed/revised:						

	Plaintiff Device:	has suffered	the following	g injuries	and compli	cations as	a result of	this Exa	ctech
21.	Is Plainti TPG Det	iff asserting c fendants?	laims regardi	ng injuries	s suffered <u>pr</u>	<u>rior to</u> Feb	ruary 14, 20)18 again:	st the
	Yes	No							

Exactech Device 3:

(NOTE: Answer the following questions for only one Exactech Device.)

22. Plaintiff was implanted with the following Exactech Device:

Exactech Hip Devices	Exactech Knee Devices				
Connexion GXL	Optetrak				
Novation GXL	Optetrak Logic				
AcuMatch GXL	Truliant				
MCS GXL					
	Exactech Ankle Device				
	Vantage				
23. Leg in which the Exactech Device was Right	s Implanted:				
Left					
24. Date the Exactech Device was implant	red (see also note to paragraph 5 above):				
25. State in which the Exactech Device was	s implanted:				
26. Date the Exactech Device was surgical	ly removed/revised:				

27.	Plaintiff Device:	has	suffere	ed the	following	injuries	and	complicat	ions as	a result	of this	Exactech
28	Is Plainti	iff as	sserting	claim	s regardin	σ iniuries	s suff	ered nrio r	• to Feb	muary 14	2018 a	gainst the
20.	TPG Det	fend	ants?	Claim	s regarding	g injuries	Sull	ered <u>prior</u>	<u>. 10</u> 1 Ct	лиагу 14	, 2016 a	gamst the
	Yes	No)									

Exactech Device 4:

(NOTE: Answer the following questions for only one Exactech Device.)

29. Plaintiff was implanted with the following Exactech Device:

Exactech Hip Devices	Exactech Knee Devices
Connexion GXL	Optetrak
Novation GXL	Optetrak Logic
AcuMatch GXL	Truliant
MCS GXL	
	Exactech Ankle Device
	Vantage
30. Leg in which the Exactech Device was Imple Right	anted:
Left	
31. Date the Exactech Device was implanted (se	ee also note to paragraph 5 above):
32. State in which the Exactech Device was impl	lantad
	ancu.
33. Date the Exactech Device was surgically rem	noved/revised:

Device								
5. Is Plain TPG D	tiff asserting cla efendants?	ims regardin	ng injurie	s suffered	prior to Fe	bruary 14	4, 2018 a	against t
Yes	No							

Exactech Device 5:

(NOTE: Answer the following questions for only one Exactech Device.)

36. Plaintiff was implanted with the following Exactech Device:

Exactech Hip Devices	Exactech Knee Devices
Connexion GXL	Optetrak
Novation GXL	Optetrak Logic
AcuMatch GXL	Truliant
MCS GXL	
	Exactech Ankle Device
	Vantage
37. Leg in which the Exactech Device was Imp Right	lanted:
Left	
38. Date the Exactech Device was implanted (se	ee also note to paragraph 5 above):
39. State in which the Exactech Device was imp	planted:
40. Date the Exactech Device was surgically re	moved/revised:

41.	Plaintiff Device:	has suffered	l the following	injuries	and complic	ations as a	result of thi	s Exactech
42.		iff asserting fendants?	claims regardi	ng injurie	es suffered <u>pr</u>	r ior to Febru	uary 14, 201	8 against the
	Yes	No						
			leges injuries Form Comp					

for each additional Exactech Device and attach to this Short Form Complaint.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

43. As to Exactech, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect

Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect

Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or

Instructions

Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence

Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation

Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud

Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment

Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages

Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium

44. As to Exactech U.S., Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect

Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect

Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or

Instructions

Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence

Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation

Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud

Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment

Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages

Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium

45. As to TPG, Inc. Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect

Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Design Defect

Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or

Instructions

Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence

Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation

Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud

Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment

Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages

Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium

46. As to Osteon Holdings, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

First Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Manufacturing Defect

Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect

Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or

Instructions

Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence

Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation

Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud

Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment

Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages

Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium

47. As to Osteon Merger Sub, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

First Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Manufacturing Defect

Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect

Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or

Instructions

Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence

Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation

Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud

Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment

Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages

Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium

48. As to Osteon Intermediate Holdings II, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as set forth therein:

First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect

Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect

Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or

Instructions

Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence

Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation

Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud

Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment

Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages

Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium

49. As to any Defendant named in this Short Form Complain Master Personal Injury Complaint, Plaintiff(s) asserts action, and prayer for relief. Attach additional pages	the following allegations, causes of
necessary.	
WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) prays for relief and judg	gment against named Defendants and
all such further relief that this Court deems equitable and just	st as set forth in the Amended Master
Personal Injury Complaint and any additional relief to which	n Plaintiff(s) may be entitled.
JURY DEMAND	
Plaintiff(s) hereby demands a trial by jury as to all cl	aims in this action.
Date: Sign	ned:
Signature bl	